

BIRATIONAL GEOMETRY OF RATIONALLY CONNECTED MANIFOLDS VIA QUASI-LINES

PALTIN IONESCU

ABSTRACT. This is, mostly, a survey of results about the birational geometry of rationally connected manifolds, using rational curves analogous to lines in $\mathbb{P}^n$ (*quasi-lines*). Various characterizations of a Zariski neighbourhood of a line in $\mathbb{P}^n$ are obtained, some of them being new. Also, methods of formal geometry are applied for deducing results of birational nature.

0. INTRODUCTION

The essential role played by rational curves in the birational classification of algebraic varieties became clear since the appearance of Mori theory [14, 15]. In 1992, Kollár, Miyaoka and Mori [12] introduced the very useful class of *rationally connected* manifolds. This class contains important subclasses, such as unirational or Fano manifolds, admits several convenient characterizations and has many good stability properties. One of the characterizations of rationally connected manifolds is the presence of a smooth rational curve having ample normal bundle.

A *model* of a rationally connected projective manifold X is a pair (X, Y) , where Y is a smooth rational curve such that $N_{Y/X}$ is ample (cf. [8]). Two models are equivalent, denoted $(X, Y) \sim (X', Y')$, if there is an isomorphism between open Zariski neighbourhoods of Y , respectively Y' , sending Y to Y' . Rationally connected manifolds are known to have a very complicated birational geometry; see e.g. [9, 10]. Hopefully, a convenient choice of models will simplify the original birational problem. In fact, using Hironaka's result [6], we see that the birational classification (of rationally connected manifolds) is essentially the same as the classification of models, modulo the above equivalence relation. Given a rationally connected projective manifold, there is some birational model containing a “quasi-line”, i.e. a smooth rational curve having the normal bundle of a line in $\mathbb{P}^n$ (cf. [7]). It turns out that the Hilbert scheme of quasi-lines has nice properties; in particular, counting curves through two

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 14-02, 14E08, 14E30, 14M20.

Key words and phrases. Rationally connected projective manifold, model, quasi-line, strongly rational, formal methods.

general points can detect the (uni)rationality of the given manifold. An intermediate step in studying the equivalence of two models (X, Y) and (X', Y') is the comparison of the formal completions $X_{/Y}$ and $X'_{/Y'}$. This allows one to use powerful results from formal geometry due to Hironaka, Hironaka–Matsumura, Hartshorne, Gieseker (see [1] or [4]) in the study of models.

This paper is, mostly, a survey based on the works [2], [8] and [7]; on the other hand, it also contains several new results, for instance Proposition 1.8, Theorem 2.8, Proposition 4.11, Theorem 4.16 and Corollary 4.17. Proposition 2.1, Corollary 2.3, Theorem 2.5 and Proposition 4.8 are refinements of results from [2] and [7]. In the first section we discuss, informally, models in general. In Theorem 1.3 we prove (cf. [7] and [8]) a *reduction* result that replaces a given model by one of lower dimension, in the presence of a suitable linear system. We consider *deformations* of models and recall a finiteness result from [8]. Also, a notion of *minimality* is briefly discussed. Next we recall from [7] the existence of quasi-lines and explain their use. The second section contains two characterizations of the basic model $(\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$. The first one, Theorem 2.5, cf. [7], is in terms of curves only. The second one, Theorem 2.8 which is new, is in terms of linear systems of “maximal dimension”. We note one consequence of Theorem 2.5, cf. [7]. Rational manifolds containing “big” open subsets of $\mathbb{P}^n$ (these are called *strongly-rational*, cf. [2]) are closed with respect to small deformations. No analogous result is known for rational manifolds. In the third section, following [7], we prove a characterization of rationality in terms of quasi-lines and a useful result on the ascent of rationality. We apply the last to give a simple proof that del Pezzo manifolds of degree ≥ 4 are rational. The last section investigates the formal geometry of quasi-lines. We introduce, following [7], a “local number” associated with a quasi-line; Theorem 4.2 relates global and local invariants of quasi-lines and has many useful consequences. A computable property of quasi-lines, called regularity, is introduced. A typical application is the following (Corollary 4.7): if two models (X, Y) and (X', Y') , with Y, Y' regular quasi-lines, are formally equivalent (i.e. $X_{/Y} \simeq X'_{/Y'}$ as formal schemes), then they are equivalent. In particular, we get (Proposition 4.8): Let $X, X' \subset \mathbb{P}^{d+1}$, $d = 3, 4, 5$, be smooth Fano threefolds of degree d and let $Y \subset X$, $Y' \subset X'$ be general conics. If $X_{/Y} \simeq X'_{/Y'}$, then there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X'$ such that $\varphi(Y) = Y'$. Theorem 4.16 contains a new characterization of the formal completion $\mathbb{P}^n_{/\text{line}}$. It may be used to get a new description of the model $(\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$, Corollary 4.17. We work over the field $\mathbb{C}$ and we use the standard notation and terminology of Algebraic Geometry, as in [5].

1. MODELS OF RATIONALLY CONNECTED MANIFOLDS

Let X denote a *complex projective manifold* of dimension n (usually $n \geq 2$). We say that X is *rationally connected* (cf. [12]) if there is a rational curve passing through any two given points of X . The following theorem summarizes some of the main properties of rationally connected manifolds.

Theorem A. (cf. [12, 3] or [10]) (i) *Unirational manifolds and Fano manifolds are rationally connected;*

(ii) *being rationally connected is a birational property and is invariant under smooth deformation;*

(iii) *rationally connected manifolds are simply connected and satisfy*

$$H^0(X, \Omega_X^{\otimes m}) = 0 \text{ for } m > 0 \text{ and } H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 \text{ for } i > 0;$$

(iv) *X is rationally connected if and only if there is a smooth rational curve $Y \subset X$ such that the normal bundle of Y in X is ample;*

(v) *if $n = 2$, X is rationally connected if and only if X is rational.*

Definition 1.1. A *model* of a rationally connected manifold X as above, is a pair (X, Y) , where $Y \subset X$ is a smooth rational curve with $N_{Y/X}$ ample.

Isomorphism of models is defined in the obvious way. More importantly, we introduce the following.

Definition 1.2. Two models (X, Y) and (X', Y') are *equivalent*, denoted $(X, Y) \sim (X', Y')$, if there are Zariski open subsets $U \subseteq X$ and $U' \subseteq X'$, satisfying $Y \subset U$ and $Y' \subset U'$, and an isomorphism $\varphi : U \rightarrow U'$, such that $\varphi(Y) = Y'$.

Note that in this case X and X' are birationally equivalent. Conversely, assume that X and X' are birationally equivalent rationally connected manifolds. Choose a birational map $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow X'$. By Hironaka's result (cf. [6]), we may find a composition of blowing-ups $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $\bar{\varphi} := \varphi \circ \sigma$ is a birational morphism. Now, we can choose a model (X', Y') such that $\bar{\varphi}^{-1}$ is an isomorphism along Y' ; in other words, by letting $\tilde{Y} := \bar{\varphi}^{-1}(Y')$, the models $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ and (X', Y') are equivalent. Note, in particular, that proving the rationality of a given rationally connected manifold X , amounts to showing the equivalence $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \sim (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$, for a suitably chosen model of some blow-up $\tilde{X}$ of X .

To state our first result, we introduce some more notation. If (X, Y) and (X', Y') are models and $\varphi : X \rightarrow X'$ is a morphism such that $\varphi(Y) = Y'$, we write $\varphi : (X, Y) \rightarrow (X', Y')$ and call it a *morphism of models*. Given a model (X, Y) , we write $Y' \sim Y$ if Y' is a general deformation of Y ; in particular, we can consider the new model (X, Y') . Recall that for any model

(X, Y) , a theorem due to Grothendieck tells us that $N_{Y/X} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$, $0 < a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{n-1}$. Now we can state the following:

Theorem 1.3. (cf. [8, Theorem 1.12], [7, Theorem 1.7]) *Let (X, Y) be a model such that $N_{Y/X} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_Y(a_i)$ with $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{n-1}$ and let D be a divisor on X such that $(D \cdot Y) := d > 0$, with $a_1 \geq d$ and $\dim |D| \geq d$. Then there are $\tilde{X}$ a blow-up of X , $Y' \sim Y$, and a diagram of models*

$$(Z, \tilde{Y}') \hookrightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}') \xrightarrow{\varphi} (\mathbb{P}^{\dim |D| - d + 1}, l),$$

where l is a line, such that:

- (i) φ is surjective with connected fibres;
- (ii) any smooth fibre of φ is rationally connected;
- (iii) $Z := \varphi^{-1}(l)$ is smooth;
- (iv) $\tilde{Y}'$ is a section for $\varphi|_Z$.

Sketch of the proof. STEP 1. We may assume $|D|$ free of fixed components and, replacing Y by a general deformation of it, we may also assume that $Y \cap Bs|D| = \emptyset$. Next, we blow-up $d - 1$ points on Y and get a new manifold X' . Replace the model (X, Y) by the model (X', Y') , where Y' is the proper transform of Y . We find the linear system $|D'|$ on X' such that: $(D' \cdot Y') = 1$ and $\dim |D'| \geq 1$.

STEP 2. By step 1, we may assume $d = 1$ and $\dim |D| := s \geq 1$. After suitable blowing-up $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, we may also assume $Bs|D| = \emptyset$. To prove (i), proceed by induction on s . If $s = 1$, note that $(D \cdot \tilde{Y}') = 1$ shows that the fibres of $\varphi|_{|D|}$ are connected. If $s \geq 2$, $(D \cdot \tilde{Y}') = 1$ implies that φ is not composed with a pencil, so Bertini's Theorem applies to find a smooth connected member $\Delta \in |D|$ through two general points x, y of $\tilde{X}$. We may assume that $x, y \in \tilde{Y}'$ and we obtain the new model $(\Delta, \tilde{Y}')$. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(D) \rightarrow 0$$

shows that $\dim |D|_{\Delta} = s - 1$ and we may apply the induction hypothesis to deduce (i).

(iii) follows by Bertini's Theorem, since the line determined by $\varphi(x), \varphi(y)$ is general.

(iv) is clear.

To prove (ii), we use the model $(Z, \tilde{Y}')$. We see that on a general fibre of $\varphi|_Z : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, two points may be joined by a sequence of rational curves, making use of the same property on Z . $\square$

Corollary 1.4. ([7, Corollary 1.8]) *Let (X, Y) be a model and D a divisor on X . Assume that $N_{Y/X} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_Y(a_i)$, $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{n-1}$, $d := (D \cdot Y) > 0$, $d \leq a_1$ and $\dim |D| \geq n + d - 1$. Then X is rational.*

Corollary 1.5. *Keep all the other hypotheses of Corollary 1.4 and replace the last inequality by $\dim |D| \geq n + d - 2$. Then X is birational to a conic bundle.*

Next, we consider deformations of models. Let (X, Y) be a model. By a *deformation* of (X, Y) we mean a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{X} \\ q \downarrow & \swarrow p & \\ T & & \end{array}$$

where p, q are proper smooth morphisms, i is a closed embedding, T is a connected scheme such that $(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{Y}_t)$ is a model for each (closed) $t \in T$ and $(X, Y) \simeq (\mathcal{X}_{t_0}, \mathcal{Y}_{t_0})$ for some $t_0 \in T$. We say that (X, Y) and (X', Y') are *deformation equivalent* if both appear as fibres of the same deformation. By a *polarized model* we mean a triple (X, Y, H) , where (X, Y) is a model and H is an ample divisor on X . Let $d := (H \cdot Y)$. Using Matsusaka's theorem (cf. [13]) and its refinement from [11] together with Mori theory [15], one can prove the following finiteness result:

Theorem 1.6. (cf. [8, Theorem 3.2]) *Fix $n \geq 2$ and $d > 0$. There are only finitely many isomorphism classes of polarized models (X, Y, H) such that $\dim(X) = n$ and $(H \cdot Y) = d$, modulo deformations.*

Next we discuss a notion of “minimality” for models, cf. [8].

Definition 1.7. A model (X, Y) is *minimal* if any effective divisor D satisfying $(D \cdot Y) = 0$ is zero.

Note that for any model (X, Y) the number of prime divisors D with $(D \cdot Y) = 0$ is finite. In dimension two, given a model (X, Y) there is a unique minimal model (X_0, Y_0) and a birational morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X_0$ inducing an equivalence of (X, Y) and (X_0, Y_0) (here X_0 may be singular). Moreover, there is a complete classification of the pairs (X_0, Y_0) . See [8, Proposition 1.21] for details. In higher dimensions practically nothing is known about the existence (or uniqueness) of a minimal model in a given equivalence class of models.

The following proposition illustrates the use of the minimality property of a given model.

Proposition 1.8. *Let $\varphi : X' \dashrightarrow X$ be a birational map inducing an equivalence of the models (X', Y') and (X, Y) . Assume that (X, Y) is minimal and*

for some (or any) ample line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ there is $\mathcal{L}' \in \text{Pic}(X')$ which is nef and coincides with $\varphi^*\mathcal{L}$ on the domain of φ . Then φ is a morphism.

Proof. Let $\tilde{X}$ be the normalization of the closure of the graph of φ , endowed with the natural projections $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X'$ and $q : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. We may assume $\mathcal{L}$ to be very ample. We have the equality of linear systems on $\tilde{X}$, $|p^*\mathcal{L}'| = E + |q^*\mathcal{L}|$ for some effective divisor E . We find easily that $(q_*E \cdot Y) = 0$; the minimality of (X, Y) implies that $\text{codim}_X(q_*E) \geq 2$. If $E > 0$, it follows from Hodge Index Theorem, via suitable slicing, that there exists a curve $C \subset \tilde{X}$ such that $q(C)$ is a point and $(C \cdot E) < 0$. We infer that $(p^*\mathcal{L}' \cdot C) < 0$ which contradicts the nefness of $\mathcal{L}'$. Thus we proved that $E = 0$, so p is an isomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 1.9. *Let (X', Y') and (X, Y) be equivalent minimal models. Assume, moreover, that X and X' are Fano manifolds. Then (X', Y') is isomorphic to (X, Y) .*

Next, we come to a very important question: Given X a rationally connected projective manifold, is there any “convenient” choice of a model (X, Y) ? As the model $(\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$ is the basic example, looking at specific properties of a line in $\mathbb{P}^n$ suggests the following definitions, cf. [2].

Definition 1.10. (i) $Y \subset X$ is called a *quasi-line* if $N_{Y/X} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$;

(ii) $Y \subset X$ is called an *almost-line* if Y is a quasi-line and there is a divisor D on X such that $(D \cdot Y) = 1$.

Example 1.11. Let X be a Fano threefold of index two such that $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}[H]$, H being the hyperplane section. If $Y \subset X$ is a general conic, Y is a quasi-line. This was proved by Oxbury [16]; see also [2, Theorem 3.2] for a more conceptual argument. It is easy to see that X does not contain almost-lines.

The following theorem from [7] is essential for the rest of this paper.

Theorem 1.12 (existence of almost-lines). *Let X be a rationally connected projective manifold. There is X' a smooth projective birational model of X , containing an almost-line.*

Actually, one proves that X' is got by a sequence of blowing-ups of X with smooth two-codimensional centers; see [7, Theorem 2.3, Proposition 2.5].

The importance of quasi-lines comes from the following considerations about the Hilbert scheme of such curves. Let $Y \subset X$ be a quasi-line. The Hilbert scheme of curves corresponding to the Hilbert polynomial (for a certain polarization) of Y in X is smooth at $[Y]$. So $[Y]$ lies on a unique irreducible component, say $\mathcal{H}$, of this Hilbert scheme. Hence, we can speak about “curves

from the family determined by Y'' . Note that this applies to any smooth rational curve with ample normal bundle, and we implicitly used it before, when speaking about $Y' \sim Y$, a general deformation of Y . We have the universal family of such curves $\mathcal{Y}$ and the standard diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\ \pi \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{H} & & \end{array}$$

Now fix a point $x \in Y$. Similar considerations apply to the closed subscheme of $\mathcal{H}$ corresponding to curves of the family passing through x . We denote by $\mathcal{H}_x$, respectively $\mathcal{Y}_x$, the Hilbert scheme of these curves and their universal family. We keep the same notation π and ϕ for the restriction of the above projections to $\mathcal{Y}_x$.

Definition 1.13. Let $Y \subset X$ be a quasi-line.

- (i) The number of quasi-lines from the family determined by Y passing through two general points of X is denoted by $e(X, Y)$.
- (ii) The number of quasi-lines from the family passing through one general point of X and tangent to a general tangent vector at that point is denoted by $e_0(X, Y)$.

It is easy to see that given a model (X, Y) , $e(X, Y)$ and $e_0(X, Y)$ are finite exactly when Y is a quasi-line. Moreover, $e(X, Y)$ is nothing but the degree of the projection $\phi : \mathcal{Y}_x \rightarrow X$ for a general point $x \in X$. One can see also that we always have $e_0(X, Y) \leq e(X, Y)$. However, this inequality may be strict.

Example 1.14. (cf. [2, Example 2.7]) Take X to be the desingularization of the toric quotient of $\mathbb{P}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) by a cyclic group of order $n+1$ and Y the quasi-line that is the image of a line in $\mathbb{P}^n$. We see that $e_0(X, Y) = e_0(\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line}) = 1$ and $e(X, Y) = n + 1$.

Example 1.15. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{d+1}$ be a smooth Fano threefold of degree d , $d = 3, 4, 5$ and let $Y \subset X$ be a general conic (cf. Example 1.11). If $d = 3$, we compute $e_0(X, Y) = e(X, Y) = 6$, cf. [7, Proposition 3.2]. If $d = 4$, we get similarly $e_0(X, Y) = e(X, Y) = 2$. I thank Arnaud Beauville for kindly pointing out this fact to me. If $d = 5$, we obtain $e_0(X, Y) = e(X, Y) = 1$.

2. THE MODEL $(\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$

The main purpose of this section is to give two different characterizations of models equivalent to the basic model $(\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$. A third characterization will appear in Section 4, using formal geometry. The key to all three characterizations is the following result, which is a refinement of [2, Theorem 4.4].

Proposition 2.1. *Let (X, Y) be a model. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $(X, Y) \sim (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$;
- (ii) *there is a divisor D on X such that $(D \cdot Y) = 1$ and $\dim |D| \geq n$.*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is easy. To prove that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), we first observe that Y does not meet the base locus of $|D|$. Otherwise, for some point $x \in Y$, we could find an element in $|D|$, which is singular at x . But Y deforms with the point x fixed, so replacing Y by a general deformation of it passing through x , we find that $(D \cdot Y) \geq 2$. The same argument shows two more things. First, that $\dim |D| = n$; secondly, the rational map $\varphi = \varphi_{|D|}$, which is defined along Y , is also étale along Y . Moreover, $\varphi(Y)$ is a line in $\mathbb{P}^n$. It follows that the restriction of φ to Y is an isomorphism onto a line and Y is a quasi-line. We may further assume, replacing X by a suitable blowing-up, that $\varphi : (X, Y) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$ is a morphism of models, étale along Y . The following useful general lemma applies to show that φ induces an equivalence $(X, Y) \sim (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$, as required. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\varphi : (X', Y') \rightarrow (X, Y)$ be a morphism of models, with Y, Y' quasi-lines, which is étale along Y' . Then $e(X, Y) = \deg \varphi \cdot e(X', Y')$.*

Proof. Fix a point $y' \in Y'$ and let $y = \varphi(y')$. For $x \in X$ a general point, denote by $x'_1, \dots, x'_d$ the points of the fibre $\varphi^{-1}(x)$, $d = \deg \varphi$. Consider the quasi-lines on X' equivalent to Y' , passing through y' and some x'_i , $1 \leq i \leq d$. Their images on X are quasi-lines through y and x , equivalent to Y . The induced map on Chow schemes $\varphi_* : \text{Ch}_{y'}(X') \rightarrow \text{Ch}_y(X)$ is injective when restricted to the open sets parameterizing quasi-lines. This comes from the fact that the considered quasi-lines on X' do not intersect the ramification divisor of φ . It follows that this restriction of φ_* is also surjective, whence the desired equality. $\square$

Corollary 2.3. *Let (X, Y) be a model such that there exists a divisor D on X with the following properties:*

- (i) D is nef and big;
- (ii) $(D \cdot Y) = 1$.

Then $(X, Y) \sim (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$.

Proof. Following [2, p. 22]: let $d := (D^n) > 0$. Consider the degree- n Hilbert polynomial $p(t) := \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(tD))$. By duality and Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem we have, for $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$p(-i) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(-iD)) = (-1)^n \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + iD)) = (-1)^n h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + iD)).$$

Since $(K_X \cdot Y) \leq -n-1$, we get $(K_X + iD) \cdot Y < 0$, hence $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + iD)) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore

$$p(t) = \frac{d}{n!}(t+1) \cdots (t+n).$$

On the other hand,

$$p(-(n+2)) = (-1)^n d(n+1) = (-1)^n h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + (n+2)D)).$$

Thus $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + (n+2)D)) = d(n+1) \geq n+1$. Put $D' := K_X + (n+2)D$. It follows that $\dim |D'| \geq n$ and $(D' \cdot Y) = 1$, so Proposition 2.1 applies. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Let (X, Y) be a model such that there exists a divisor D on X with the following properties:*

- (i) D is ample;
- (ii) $(D \cdot Y) = 1$.

Then $(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$.

It is easy to see that conditions (i) and (ii) imply that (X, Y) is minimal, so the conclusion follows from Corollary 2.3 and Corollary 1.9.

It is amusing to note that Corollary 2.4 (which follows also from adjunction theory) implies the (well-known) fact that $\mathbb{P}^n$ is closed with respect to (smooth) small deformations.

If $n = 2$, $(X, Y) \sim (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$ means that there is a birational *morphism* $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ such that Y is the pull-back of a line. For any $n \geq 3$, there are models $(X, Y) \sim (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$ such that there is no birational morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. In fact, there are models $(X, Y) \sim (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$ such that there is no divisor D on X which is nef and big with $(D \cdot Y) = 1$; cf. [2, Example (4.7)], and [8, Example (2.8)].

Now, we can state the first characterization of the models (X, Y) equivalent to $(\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$. It uses only properties of the family of curves determined by Y . The result is a more precise form of Theorem 4.2 of [7].

Theorem 2.5. *Let (X, Y) be a model. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) $(X, Y) \sim (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$;
- (b) (i) Y is a quasi-line,
- (ii) $e(X, Y) = 1$, and
- (iii) there exists a point $x \in Y$ such that, for any $Y' \sim Y$ with $x \in Y'$, it follows that x is a smooth point of Y' .

We shall see in the next section that (b)(i) and (b)(ii) together imply that X is rational. However, there are examples showing that condition (b)(iii) is essential for the validity of Theorem 2.5 (see Example 4.19).

Proof. Following [7, Theorem 4.2], we sketch a proof that (b)(i), (b)(ii) and (b)(iii) together imply that $(X, Y) \sim (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$, the other implication being

easier. We recall the basic diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y}_x & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\ \pi \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{H}_x & & \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_x$ is the Hilbert scheme of curves from the family determined by Y , passing through $x \in Y$. π has a section $\mathcal{E}$; condition (b)(iii) implies that $\mathcal{E}$ is an effective Cartier divisor on $\mathcal{Y}_x$ (see [7, Lemma 4.3]). Let $\sigma : X' \rightarrow X$ be the blowing-up of $x \in X$, with $E \subset X'$ its exceptional divisor. We get a birational morphism $\phi' : \mathcal{Y}_x \rightarrow X'$ such that $\phi = \sigma \circ \phi'$. Next we remark that, if F is a general fibre of π and $y \in F \setminus (F \cap \mathcal{E})$, then ϕ is a local isomorphism at y . We take $H \subset E \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ a general hyperplane; we look at $D := \phi((\phi'|_{\mathcal{E}} \circ s \circ \pi)^* H)$, where $s : \mathcal{H}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is the inverse of the isomorphism $\pi|_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_x$. We find that x is a smooth point of the effective divisor D . A general deformation of Y through x meets D only at x and the intersection is transverse, so $(D \cdot Y) = 1$. Next, for a fixed point $x \in Y$ satisfying (b)(iii), let us denote by $|D_x|$ the linear system constructed above. We have $\dim |D_x| \geq n-1$. Either $\dim |D_x| \geq n$ or $\dim |D_x| = n-1$ and $x \in Bs|D_x|$. In the first case, we may apply Proposition 2.1 to conclude. If the last case happens for any $x \in Y$ satisfying the *open* condition (b)(iii), it follows that $\text{Pic}(X)$ is uncountable. But X is rationally connected, so $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and $\text{Pic}(X)$ has to be countable. This is a contradiction. $\square$

The following definition first appeared in [2].

Definition 2.6. A projective manifold X is *strongly rational*, if there is a model (X, Y) such that $(X, Y) \sim (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$. Equivalently, X contains an open subset U which is isomorphic to an open subset $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\mathbb{P}^n \setminus V) \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.7. (cf. [2, Proposition 3.10], [7, Theorem 4.5]) *Let X be a projective manifold. The following properties of X are closed with respect to (smooth) small deformations:*

- (i) X contains a quasi-line (respectively an almost-line);
- (ii) X contains a quasi-line Y and $e(X, Y) = 1$;
- (iii) X is strongly rational.

The proof of (iii) follows from the characterization of strongly rational manifolds given in Theorem 2.5.

Regarding the last point in Theorem 2.7, we recall that it is an open problem whether or not small deformations of rational manifolds remain rational. The example of cubic fourfolds in $\mathbb{P}^5$ seems to suggest that the answer should be

negative. The positive result in Theorem 2.7(iii) illustrates how the use of models may simplify problems of birational nature.

The second characterization of models (X, Y) which are equivalent to $(\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$ is via an extremality property of linear systems. It has been conjectured in [8, Conjecture 2.3].

Theorem 2.8. *Let (X, Y) be a model and D a divisor on X . Let $d = (D \cdot Y)$. We have:*

- (i) $\dim |D| \leq \binom{n+d}{n} - 1$;
- (ii) $(X, Y) \sim (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$ if and only if equality holds in (i), for some linear system $|D|$ with $d > 0$

Proof. (i) (cf. [8, Proposition 2.1]) We may assume $d > 0$. Consider the d -th jet bundle of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$, denoted $\mathcal{J}_d(D)$. Consider also the natural map $u : H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_d(D)$ which sends a section to its d -th jet. We claim that u is generically injective, which implies the desired inequality.

Let $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ be a non-zero section and let $D' := (s)_0$. If $x \in Y \cap \text{Supp}(D')$, we may deform Y to Y' by keeping x fixed, so that the local intersection number $(Y' \cdot D')_x$ be defined. If $u_x(s) = 0$, we get $(Y' \cdot D')_x > d = (Y \cdot D)$, so s has to be zero. Thus u is injective in the open set swept out by the deformations of Y having ample normal bundle.

(ii) Assume that $\dim |D| = \binom{n+d}{n} - 1$. Denote by $\mu_x(D)$ the multiplicity at a point $x \in X$ of the effective divisor D . For $x \in Y$, let $\Lambda_x \subseteq |D|$ be the linear system

$$\Lambda_x = \{D' \in |D| \mid \mu_x(D') \geq d\} = \{D' \in |D| \mid \mu_x(D') = d\}.$$

We have

$$\dim \Lambda_x \geq \binom{n+d}{d} - \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} - 1 = \binom{n+d-1}{d} - 1.$$

Let $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blowing-up of X at x and let E be its exceptional divisor. Write $\tilde{D}$ for the proper transform of $D \in \Lambda_x$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\sigma^*(D) - (d+1)E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(d) \rightarrow 0.$$

We have $H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\sigma^*(D) - (d+1)E)) = 0$, so $\dim |\tilde{D}| \leq \dim |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(d)| = \binom{n+d-1}{d} - 1$; but $\dim |\tilde{D}| = \dim \Lambda_x \geq \binom{n+d-1}{d} - 1$. So $|\tilde{D}|$ has no base-points along E . If $\tilde{Y}$ is the proper transform of Y , we also find: $(\tilde{D} \cdot \tilde{Y}) = 0$. It follows that we have a rational map $\varphi := \varphi_{|\tilde{D}|} : \tilde{X} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$, whose image is of dimension $n-1$ (because its restriction to E is finite onto $\varphi(E)$) and contracts the proper transform $\tilde{Y}'$ of a general deformation of Y . By generic smoothness, there is only one such curve $\tilde{Y}'$ passing through the general point

of $\tilde{X}$, which means exactly that $e(X, Y) = 1$. Note, in particular, that Y has to be a quasi-line. So we have checked the first two conditions in Theorem 2.5(b). Let us verify the third, too. We may assume, after suitable blowing-up, that $|\tilde{D}|$ is base-points free, see [7, p. 1068]. Let $Y' \sim Y$, $x \in Y'$ and consider its proper transform, $\tilde{Y}'$. We may find an element $\tilde{D}' \in |\tilde{D}|$ such that no irreducible component of $\tilde{Y}'$ is contained in $\text{Supp}(\tilde{D}')$. It follows that there is some $D' \in \Lambda_x$ such that $(D' \cdot Y')_x$ is defined. But we have $\mu_x(D') = d$ which forces Y' to be smooth at x . The conclusion follows now by applying Theorem 2.5. $\square$

Note that, for $d = 1$, Theorem 2.8 reduces to Proposition 2.1 and was used in the proof, via Theorem 2.5.

The following corollary is a new characterization of $\mathbb{P}^n$ (or of Veronese varieties) in terms of models.

Corollary 2.9. (cf. [8]) *Let (X, Y) be a minimal model. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $(X, Y) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$;
- (ii) *there is a divisor D on X such that $d = (D \cdot Y) > 0$ and $\dim |D| \geq \binom{n+d}{n} - 1$.*

A different proof of Corollary 2.9, based on Mori's characterization of $\mathbb{P}^n$ as the only projective manifold with ample tangent bundle [14], was given in [8, Proposition 2.10].

3. (UNI)RATIONALITY VIA QUASI-LINES

The next proposition, taken from [7], shows how one may use quasi-lines for detecting the (uni)rationality of a given rationally connected projective manifold.

Proposition 3.1. (cf. [7, Proposition 3.1]) *Let (X, Y) be a model with Y a quasi-line.*

- (i) *If $e_0(X, Y) = 1$, then X is unirational.*
- (ii) *If $e(X, Y) = 1$, then X is rational.*

Proof. Let $x \in Y$ be a fixed point. Consider the standard diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y}_x & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\ \pi \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{H}_x & & \end{array}$$

given by the family of curves determined by Y and passing through x . π has a section $\mathcal{E} = \phi^{-1}(x)$. Consider also $\sigma : \text{Bl}_x(X) \rightarrow X$, the blow-up of X at x and let E be its exceptional divisor. The rational map $\sigma^{-1} \circ \phi$ is defined

at a general point of $\mathcal{E}$ and maps $\mathcal{E}$ to E . The condition $e_0(X, Y) = 1$ means exactly that the restriction of the rational map $\sigma^{-1} \circ \phi$ to $\mathcal{E}$ gives a birational isomorphism to E . But $\mathcal{Y}_x$ is birationally a conic bundle for which $\mathcal{E}$ provides a rational section. So $\mathcal{Y}_x$ is birational to $\mathcal{E} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and (i) follows.

To see (ii), observe that the restriction map $\sigma^{-1} \circ \phi : \mathcal{E} \dashrightarrow E$ is dominant, being generically finite. Since $e(X, Y) = 1$, $\sigma^{-1} \circ \phi$ is birational and by Zariski Main Theorem, it follows that its restriction to $\mathcal{E}$ is also birational. Thus $\mathcal{Y}_x$ is rational and so is X . $\square$

Definition 3.2. Let X be a rationally connected projective manifold. We denote by $e(X)$ the minimum of $e(X', Y')$ for all models (X', Y') , where $\sigma : X' \rightarrow X$ is a composition of blowing-ups with smooth centers and Y' is a quasi-line in X' .

Theorem 3.3. (cf. [7, Theorem 3.4]) *Let X be a rationally connected projective manifold.*

- (i) $e(X)$ is a birational invariant of X ;
- (ii) X is rational if and only if $e(X) = 1$.

Proof. (i) Let $\varphi : X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2$ be a birational isomorphism between two rationally connected projective manifolds. Let $\sigma : X' \rightarrow X_2$ be a composition of blowing-ups and let $Y' \subset X'$ be a quasi-line such that $e(X_2) = e(X', Y')$. Let $\mu = \sigma^{-1} \circ \varphi : X_1 \dashrightarrow X'$. Take $\rho : X \rightarrow X_1$, a composition of blowing-ups such that $\mu \circ \rho : X \rightarrow X'$ is a birational morphism. Let $Y \subset X$ be the inverse image by $\mu \circ \rho$ of a general deformation of Y' . It follows $e(X_2) = e(X', Y') = e(X, Y) \geq e(X_1)$. The opposite inequality follows by symmetry.

(ii) $e(\mathbb{P}^n) = 1$, so $e(X) = 1$ if X is rational, by (i). The converse follows from Proposition 3.1. $\square$

The following result on the ascent of rationality was found in the context of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 3.4. (cf. [7, Theorem 1.3]) *Let X be a projective variety and $|D|$ a complete linear system of Cartier divisors on it. Let $D_1, \dots, D_s \in |D|$ and put $W_i := D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Assume that W_i is smooth, irreducible of dimension $n - i$, for all i . Assume moreover that there is a divisor E on $W := W_s$ and a linear system $\Lambda \subset |E|$ such that:*

- (i) $\varphi_\Lambda : W \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-s}$ is birational, and
- (ii) $|D|_W - E| \neq \emptyset$. Then X is rational.

Proof. Induction on s . We explain the case $s = 1$, the general case being completely similar. So, let $W \in |D|$ be a smooth, irreducible Cartier divisor such that $\varphi_\Lambda : W \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is birational for $\Lambda \subset |E|$, $E \in \text{Div}(W)$ and $|D|_W - E| \neq \emptyset$. Replacing X by its desingularization, we may assume that

X is smooth. As W is rational, it is rationally connected, so we may find some smooth rational curve $Y \subset W$ with $N_{Y/W}$ ample. We have $(Y \cdot E) > 0$ and from (ii) we deduce $(Y \cdot D) > 0$. From the exact sequence of normal bundles we get that $N_{Y/X}$ is ample, so X is rationally connected. In particular, $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W(D) \rightarrow 0,$$

shows that $\dim |D| = \dim |D|_W| + 1 \geq \dim |E| + 1 \geq n$.

We may choose a pencil $(W, W') \subset |D|$, containing W , such that $W'_W = E_0 + E_1$, with $E_0 \geq 0$ and $E_1 \in \Lambda$. By Hironaka's theory [6], we may use blowing-ups with smooth centers contained in $W \cap W'$, such that after taking the proper transforms of the elements of our pencil, to get:

- (a) $\text{Supp}(E_0)$ has normal crossing;
- (b) Λ is base-points free (so $\varphi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a birational morphism).

Further blowing-up of the components of $\text{Supp}(E_0)$ allows to assume $E_0 = 0$ so $D|_W$ is linearly equivalent to E . Using the previous exact sequence and the fact that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, it follows that $Bs|D| = \emptyset$. Finally, $(D^n) = (D|_W)^{n-1}_W = 1$, so φ is a birational morphism to $\mathbb{P}^n$. $\square$

Example 3.5. (cf. [7, Example 1.4]) Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+d-2}$ be a non-degenerate projective variety of dimension $n \geq 2$ and degree $d \geq 3$, which is not a cone. Then X is rational, unless it is a smooth cubic hypersurface, $n \geq 3$.

If X is singular, by projecting from a singular point we get a variety of minimal degree, birational to X . So X is rational. If X is not linearly normal, X is isomorphic to a variety of minimal degree. Hence we may assume X to be smooth and linearly normal. One sees easily that such a linearly normal, non-degenerate manifold $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+d-2}$ has anticanonical divisor linearly equivalent to $n - 1$ times the hyperplane section, i.e. they are exactly the so-called "classical del Pezzo manifolds". They were classified by Fujita in a series of papers; see [9] for a survey of his argument. As Fujita's proof is quite long and difficult, we show how Theorem 3.4 may be used to prove directly the rationality of X if $d \geq 4$. Consider the surface W obtained by intersecting X with $n - 2$ general hyperplanes. Note that W is a nondegenerate, linearly normal surface of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^d$, so it is a del Pezzo surface. As such, W is known to admit a representation $\varphi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ as the blowing-up of $9 - d$ points. Let $L \subset W$ be the pull-back via φ of a general line in $\mathbb{P}^2$. It is easy to see that L is a cubic rational curve in the embedding of W into $\mathbb{P}^d$. So, for $d \geq 4$ L is contained in a hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^d$. This shows that the conditions of the Theorem 3.4 are fulfilled for X , $|D|$ being the system of hyperplane sections.

We also see that Theorem 3.4 is sharp, as the previous argument fails exactly for the case of cubics.

4. FORMAL GEOMETRY OF QUASI-LINES

If Y is a closed subscheme of the scheme X , the theory of formal functions of X along Y was developed by Zariski and Grothendieck as an algebraic substitute for a complex tubular neighbourhood of Y in X . We denote by $X_{/Y}$ the formal completion of X along Y , which is the ringed space with topological space Y and sheaf of rings $\operatorname{inv} \lim_n \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{I}^n$, $\mathcal{I}$ being the sheaf of ideals defining Y in X . All results from formal geometry we shall need may be found in either R. Hartshorne's classic [4], or in the recent comprehensive monograph by L. Bădescu [1]. Let us recall that according to Hironaka–Matsumura one can define the ring of formal rational functions of X along Y , denoted by $K(X_{/Y})$. In good cases, it is a field containing $K(X)$, the field of rational functions of the variety X . We say Y is G2 in X if $K(X_{/Y})$ is a field and the degree $[K(X_{/Y}) : K(X)]$ is finite. An important result due to Hartshorne implies that if Y and X are projective manifolds and $N_{Y/X}$ is ample, Y is G2 in X . We say Y is G3 in X if Y is G2 and the inclusion $K(X) \subset K(X_{/Y})$ is an equality. The following definition (cf. [7]) is similar to the one in Definition 3.2; here for a given quasi-line we consider its étale neighbourhoods instead of its Zariski neighbourhoods.

Definition 4.1. Let $Y \subset X$ be a quasi-line. The number $\tilde{e}(X, Y)$ is the minimum of $e(X', Y')$, where X' is a projective manifold, $Y' \subset X'$ is a quasi-line and $f : (X', Y') \rightarrow (X, Y)$ is a morphism of models, étale along Y' .

The following theorem, based on results due to Hartshorne and Gieseker, is essential for the sequel.

Theorem 4.2. (cf. [7, Theorem 5.4]) *If $Y \subset X$ is a quasi-line then*

$$e(X, Y) = \tilde{e}(X, Y) \cdot [K(X_{/Y}) : K(X)].$$

Proof. One first observes that (see Lemma 2.2), if $f : (X', Y') \rightarrow (X, Y)$ is a morphism of models, with Y, Y' quasi-lines, étale along Y' , then we have $e(X, Y) = \deg f \cdot e(X', Y')$. Next we choose an f as above, such that $e(X', Y') = \tilde{e}(X, Y)$ and we claim that Y' is G3 in X' . Since Y' is G2 in X' , we may apply a very useful construction due to Hartshorne–Gieseker (see [1]) to get a morphism of models $g : (X'', Y'') \rightarrow (X', Y')$ as above, such that $\deg g = [K(X'_{/Y'}) : K(X')]$ and Y'' is G3 in X'' . By the previous step and the definition of $\tilde{e}(X, Y)$, it follows that $[K(X'_{/Y'}) : K(X')] = 1$, i.e. Y' is G3 in X' .

The diagram associated to f ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(X) & \longrightarrow & K(X/Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ K(X') & \xrightarrow{\sim} & K(X'/Y') \end{array}$$

shows that $\deg f = [K(X/Y) : K(X)]$. Note that the right vertical isomorphism comes from the fact that f , being étale along Y' induces an isomorphism between X/Y and X'/Y' . $\square$

Corollary 4.3. (cf. [7, Corollary 5.5]) *Let (X, Y) and (X', Y') be models with Y, Y' quasi-lines. If $X/Y \xrightarrow{\sim} X'/Y'$ as formal schemes, then $\tilde{e}(X, Y) = \tilde{e}(X', Y')$.*

Corollary 4.4. (cf. [7, Corollary 5.6]) *Let $Y \subset X$ be a quasi-line. Then $e_0(X, Y) \leq \tilde{e}(X, Y) \leq e(X, Y)$.*

Definition 4.5. We say that a quasi-line $Y \subset X$ is *regular* if $\tilde{e}(X, Y) = e(X, Y)$.

Corollary 4.6. (cf. [7, Corollary 5.7]) *Let $Y \subset X$ be a quasi-line. Y is regular if and only if Y is G3 in X . If $e_0(X, Y) = e(X, Y)$, then Y is regular.*

Note, as a very special case, that a quasi-line $Y \subset X$ with $e(X, Y) = 1$ is G3. This generalizes the fact, first noticed by Hironaka in the sixties, that a line in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is G3.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $(X, Y), (X', Y')$ be models with Y, Y' regular quasi-lines. If $X/Y \xrightarrow{\sim} X'/Y'$ as formal schemes, then $(X, Y) \sim (X', Y')$.*

The following proposition generalizes [7, Corollary 5.12].

Proposition 4.8. *Let $X, X' \subset \mathbb{P}^{d+1}$ be smooth Fano threefolds of degree d , $d = 3, 4, 5$ and let $Y \subset X, Y' \subset X'$ be general conics. If $X/Y \xrightarrow{\sim} X'/Y'$, then there exists an isomorphism of models $\varphi : (X, Y) \rightarrow (X', Y')$.*

For a proof, combine Example 1.15 with Corollaries 4.6 and 4.7.

Definition 4.9. $Y \subset X$ is a *line* if Y is a regular almost-line.

An ordinary line in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is clearly a line in the sense of Definition 4.9, whence the terminology.

Using Theorem 1.12 and the Hartshorne–Gieseker construction one sees that the following holds:

Given a rationally connected projective manifold X , we may find a generically finite morphism $X' \rightarrow X$ such that X' contains a line.

The next question looks interesting:

Question 4.10 (existence of lines). *Given a rationally connected manifold X can we find a (smooth, projective) birational model of X containing a line?*

Note that almost-lines $Y \subset X$ with $e(X, Y) = 1$ are lines by Corollary 4.6.

The following proposition will allow us to construct other examples of lines.

Proposition 4.11. *In the setting of Theorem 1.3 assume moreover that $d = 1$ and $\tilde{Y}$ is G3 in Z . Then $\tilde{Y}$ is G3 in $\tilde{X}$.*

Proof. Assume that $\tilde{Y}$ is not G3 in $\tilde{X}$ and apply the Hartshorne–Gieseker construction to the model $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$. We find the morphism of models $f : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$, étale along $\tilde{Y}$ and of degree > 1 . Use Bertini’s Theorem to infer that, for a general line $l \subset \mathbb{P}^s$, $\tilde{Z} := (\varphi \circ f)^{-1}(l) = f^{-1}(Z)$ is smooth and irreducible. We find that $f^{-1}(\tilde{Y}) \subset \tilde{Z}$ is disconnected, having $\tilde{Y}$ as a connected component. A fundamental result due to Hironaka–Matsumura (see [1]) asserts that $f^{-1}(\tilde{Y})$ is G3 in $\tilde{Z}$, because $\tilde{Y}$ is G3 in Z . Now, it is easy to see that a G3 subscheme of a projective manifold is connected (see [1]). This is a contradiction, so $\tilde{Y}$ is G3 in $\tilde{X}$. $\square$

Corollary 4.12. *In the setting of Corollary 1.5, assume moreover that $d = 1$. Then Y is G3 in X .*

We may apply the preceding proposition, noting that, Z being a surface, any curve with positive self-intersection on it is G3.

Example 4.13. Let X be the blowing-up of a smooth cubic threefold in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with center an ordinary line. X carries a conic-bundle structure $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$; if $Y \subset X$ is a section for φ of self-intersection one, Y is a line. To see this, notice that Y is an almost-line and apply Corollary 4.12.

The following question seems very interesting (especially if it has an affirmative answer), but looks difficult.

Question 4.14. *Let $Y \subset X$ be a line. Is it true that $e(X, Y)$ is a birational invariant of X (i.e. an invariant of $K(X/Y)$)?*

The following example shows that the answer is negative if we only assume Y to be a regular quasi-line.

Example 4.15. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth complete intersection of two quadrics and let $Y \subset X$ be a general conic, cf. Example 1.15. From Corollary 4.6 we deduce that Y is a regular quasi-line. However, X being rational, a positive answer to Question 4.14 would imply $e(X, Y) = 1$.

The next result, inspired by Proposition 2.1, gives a characterization of the formal completion $\mathbb{P}^n_{/\text{line}}$.

Theorem 4.16. *Let (X, Y) be a model.*

- (i) *There is some $L \in \text{Pic}(X/Y)$ such that $\deg L|_Y = 1$.*
- (ii) *For any such L , we have $h^0(X/Y, L) \leq n + 1$.*
- (iii) *X/Y is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^n_{/\text{line}}$ if and only if there is an $L \in \text{Pic}(X/Y)$ such that $\deg L|_Y = 1$ and $h^0(X/Y, L) = n + 1$.*

Proof. Denote by $Y(i)$, $i \geq 0$, the i -th infinitesimal neighbourhood of Y in X . We have the standard exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S^{i+1}(N_{Y/X}^\vee) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y(i+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y(i)} \rightarrow 0.$$

- (i) The above sequence yields the truncated exponential sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S^{i+1}(N_{Y/X}^\vee) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y(i+1)}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y(i)}^* \rightarrow 1.$$

For any curve Y , we have $H^2(Y, S^{i+1}(N_{Y/X}^\vee)) = 0$, so, by taking cohomology, we get surjections

$$\text{Pic}(Y(i+1)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y(i)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 0.$$

Therefore we may lift $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ to $\text{Pic}(X/Y) = \text{inv lim}_n \text{Pic}(Y(n))$.

- (ii) Let $L_i := L|_{Y(i)}$ for $i \geq 0$. The first exact sequence above, tensored by L , gives

$$0 \rightarrow S^{i+1}(N_{Y/X}^\vee) \otimes L_0 \rightarrow L_{i+1} \rightarrow L_i \rightarrow 0.$$

We deduce easily

$$h^0(L_1) \leq n + 1, \quad h^0(L_{i+1}) \leq h^0(L_i) \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.$$

As $H^0(X/Y, L) = \text{inv lim}_n H^0(Y(n), L_n)$, the conclusion follows.

- (iii) One implication is obvious. To see the other, we remark that the hypothesis $h^0(X/Y, L) = n + 1$ and the preceding exact sequences yield that $h^0(Y(i), L_i) = n + 1$ for $i \geq 1$, and each L_i is spanned by global sections. Now it is easy to see, using exact sequences as above and induction on i , that L_i induces an isomorphism of schemes between $Y(i)$ and the i -th infinitesimal neighbourhood of a line in $\mathbb{P}^n$. These isomorphisms are compatible, so they patch together to give the desired isomorphism $X/Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^n_{/\text{line}}$. $\square$

The next corollary is the third promised characterization of the model $(\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$.

Corollary 4.17. *The following conditions are equivalent for a model (X, Y) :*

- (a) $(X, Y) \sim (\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$;
- (b) (i) *there is some $L \in \text{Pic}(X/Y)$ such that $\deg L|_Y = 1$ and $h^0(X/Y, L) \geq n + 1$;*
- (ii) *Y is regular.*

Note that condition (b)(i) implies that Y is a quasi-line, so that (b)(ii) makes sense. To see that (b)(i) and (b)(ii) imply (a), combine Theorem 4.16 and Corollary 4.7.

Proposition 4.18. (cf. [8, Proposition 4.2], [7, Lemma 5.9]) *Let (X, Y) be a model with Y a quasi-line. Let E be a vector bundle on X such that*

$$E|_Y = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a+1)$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let X' be $\mathbb{P}(E)$ and let $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ be the projection. Then:

(i) *there is a quasi-line $Y' \subset X'$ such that $\pi : (X', Y') \rightarrow (X, Y)$ is a morphism of models;*

(ii) $e(X, Y) = e(X', Y')$.

Example 4.19. (i) The model (X, Y) from Example 1.14 satisfies condition (b)(i) from Corollary 4.17, but Y is not regular: we have $\tilde{e}(X, Y) = 1$ and $e(X, Y) = n + 1$.

(ii) Consider the model $(\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line})$ and apply Proposition 4.18 to $E = T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ to find the new model $(X' = \mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}), Y')$. (X', Y') satisfies (b)(ii) of Corollary 4.17, but does not satisfy (b)(i). Indeed Y' is regular since $e(X', Y') = e(\mathbb{P}^n, \text{line}) = 1$ by Proposition 4.18(ii). If (b)(i) would be fulfilled, we would have $(X', Y') \sim (\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \text{line})$. But (X', Y') is easily seen to be minimal (see [8, Lemma 4.4]), so (X', Y') would be isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, \text{line})$, which is clearly absurd. Note that (X', Y') also provides an example verifying the first two conditions of Theorem 2.5(b), but not the third.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the organizers of the Siena Conference for inviting us. Their dedication resulted in a very enjoyable mathematical and social encounter, in one of the most beautiful surroundings one can dream of.

We benefitted from two one-month visits, first at the University of Genova in September 2003 and the other at Université Lille 1 in April 2004, while working on this paper. We thank Lucian Bădescu, Mauro Beltrametti and Jean d'Almeida for their kind invitations and for making our stay very enjoyable.

We acknowledge partial financial support from Contract CNCSIS no. 33079/2004.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. BĂDESCU, *Projective Geometry and Formal Geometry*, Monografie Matematyczne, vol. 65, Birkhäuser, 2004.
- [2] L. BĂDESCU, M.C. BELTRAMETTI, P. IONESCU, Almost-lines and quasi-lines on projective manifolds, in *Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry, in memory of Michael Schneider*, de Gruyter, 2000, pp. 1–27.

- [3] F. CAMPANA, Connexité rationnelle des variétés de Fano, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **25** (1992), 539–545.
- [4] R. HARTSHORNE, *Ample Subvarieties of Algebraic Varieties*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 156, Springer, 1970.
- [5] R. HARTSHORNE, *Algebraic Geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 52, Springer, 1977.
- [6] H. HIRONAKA, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, *Ann. of Math.* **79** (1964), 109–326.
- [7] P. IONESCU, D. NAIE, Rationality properties of manifolds containing quasi-lines, *Internat. J. Math.* **14** (2003), 1053–1080.
- [8] P. IONESCU, C. VOICA, Models of rationally connected manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **55** (2003), 143–164.
- [9] V.A. ISKOVSKIKH, Y.G. PROKHOROV, *Algebraic Geometry V. Fano Varieties*, Encyclopedia of Math. Sci., vol. 47, Springer, 1999.
- [10] J. KOLLÁR, *Rational Curves on Algebraic Varieties*, Ergebnisse der Math. ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 32, Springer, 1996.
- [11] J. KOLLÁR, T. MATSUSAKA, Riemann–Roch type inequalities, *Amer. J. Math.* **105** (1983), 229–252.
- [12] J. KOLLÁR, Y. MIYAOKA, S. MORI, Rationally connected varieties, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **1** (1992), 429–448.
- [13] T. MATSUSAKA, Polarised varieties with a given Hilbert polynomial, *Amer. J. Math.* **94** (1972), 1027–1077.
- [14] S. MORI, Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles, *Ann. of Math.* **110**(1979), 593–606.
- [15] S. MORI, Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective, *Ann. of Math.* **116** (1982), 133–176.
- [16] W.M. OXBURY, Twistor spaces and Fano threefolds, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **45** (1994), 343–366.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, 14, ACADEMIEI STR.,
RO–70109 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

AND

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF ROMANIAN ACADEMY, P.O. BOX 1–764, RO–70700
BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

E-mail address: Paltin.Ionescu@imar.ro